

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

WILTECH TECHNOLOGY, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. CV 20-975 JAP/CG

OSWALD WILSON, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE AN ANSWER

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendant Wiltech Energy, LLC's *Answer to Complaint* (the "Answer"), (Doc. 18), filed March 4, 2021. In the Answer, Defendant Wiltech Energy, LLC asks the Court to "allow an extension in order to retain proper counsel."¹ *Id.* Accordingly, the Court construes the Answer as a motion to extend Defendant Wiltech Energy's time to answer Plaintiffs' complaint.

The Local Rules of Civil Procedure for the District of New Mexico require a "[m]ovant [to] determine whether a motion is opposed, and a motion that omits recitation of a good-faith request for concurrence may be summarily denied." D.N.M.LR-Civ. 7.1(a). Defendant Wiltech Energy, LLC does not indicate whether its request for additional time to answer the complaint is opposed by Plaintiffs. Therefore, the Court will deny Defendant Wiltech Energy, LLC's motion for additional time to answer the complaint without prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant Wiltech Energy LLC's *Answer to Complaint*, (Doc. 18), which the Court construes as a motion to extend the time to file

¹ Defendant Wiltech Energy LLC is a business entity, and thus it is required to retain counsel in order to proceed in this case. See D.N.M.LR-Civ. 83.7.

an answer to the complaint, **IS DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Willtech Energy LLC may refile its motion for an extension of time to answer, stating good cause for such an extension, once it seeks concurrence from Plaintiffs in accordance with D.N.M. LR-Civ 7.1(a) by.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Carmen E. Garza', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

THE HONORABLE CARMEN E. GARZA
CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE